

Omega9Alpha News

Issue 5, April 2022 ev

Haereticus

The Anton Long=David Myatt Debate Continues

The decades old debate continues: now on a modern 'social media' platform where a well-known antifascist journalist {1} - and *confidant* of the Poison Dwarf of HateNotHope fame - has threatened to engage the services of a professional forensic linguistic in order to "prove" that DM=AL.

We wish him luck given that there are at least 3000 pages of Anton Long authored texts published between 1976 and 2012, and far more texts authored by David Myatt between 1984 and 2022. How is a professional forensic linguistic going to choose which texts to compare? And will his conclusions - based on his speculative choice of texts to compare - have any merit whatsoever?

Some person on 'social media' suggested the "forensic linguistic" begin with the following:

> O9A: start [with] Naos (1989), include Satanic Letters, Seofonfeald Paeth, end with Boundaries Of O9A Philosophy, 2021. Myatt: Vindex (1984), include Occultism and National-Socialism (1996), In Reply to Sheikh Salman b. Fahd al-Oadah, 2007, end with "Numinosity, Denotata, Empathy, And The Hermetic Tradition" {2}

Which message for some reason was hidden behind the warning "Show additional replies, including those that may contain offensive content". What?! Why?!

The antifascist journalist was then asked:

> ° Even if you somehow "prove" David Myatt=Anton Long, so what? So, he was the masterminded behind an anti-establishment O9A subculture that got you & others to seek governments to ban it as dangerous and terrorist? That got you and others to obsess about him & O9A? Success!

To which the antifascist journalist replied:

> § As to your "so what" point, I'd argue that it matters due to the fact the O9A "subculture" is literally responsible for the abuse of minors in both Britain and America.

He was then asked by two people:

> ° Literally responsible? That's just desperation born of antifascist bigotry. How can a subculture be responsible for the actions of dishonourable cowards? Are the gospels - is Christianity - literally responsible for priests who commit dishonourable deeds? Answer the questions.
>
> ° Show us where the O9A writings of 'Anton Long' condone, incite, such despicable deeds. Or are you relying on the black propaganda of an FBI paid agent provocateur?
>
> ° Please cite where the writings of 'Anton Long' - whom you fanatically believe is David Myatt - condone, incite, the despicable deeds you et al now attribute to the O9A following Sutter's post-2016 black propaganda and incitement paid for by the FBI.

Surprise surprise, the antifascist journalist failed to reply. Perhaps he needed time to consult with his MI5/CIA/Mossad buddies in order to post an acceptable Establishment reply?

While on the topic of this antifascist "investigative" journalist and his Myatt obsession, he was asked about the claim by Justin Ling (an established journalist of The Guardian and VICE news fame) that the CIA had found copies of Myatt's Islamic writings among the possessions of Osama bin Laden.

> ° Go figure re Myatt/O9A: Jake and his buddies have ignored claim by fellow antifascist Justin Ling that CIA said David Myatt's Islamic writings were found among bin Laden's possessions. Did Myatt know or was in contact with bin Laden? Example writing: https://web.archive.org/web/20080105183333/http://www.davidmyatt.info/reply-osama-letter1.html

The Myatt-obsessed antifascist did not answer the question, which failure led to the following two postings:

> ° aren't you going to answer my questions about David Myatt and Osama? Or are they so damaging to the bigoted perception of Myatt which antifascists have that you or they won't answer them?
>
> ° Oh dear, this spoils the antifascist fantasy and exposes their lies about David Myatt. Come on [...] what have you to say about David Myatt and bin Laden?

The lack of response from anyone on the premier 'social media' site speaks volumes.

°°°

{1} The views of the journalist in question are described in https://www.scribd.com/document/569487825/Guardians-Of-The-Anti-O9A-Narrative

His character might possibly be deduced from the more recent exchange of comments from which the following are an extract, with his comments prefixed by the § symbol and "ours" by the ° symbol:

> § Here a pro-Ukraine far-right Telegram channel outs the admin of a pro-Russia far-right Telegram channel. The kid is holding a gross book written by a US cell of the O9A.

° Still using anti-O9A FBI black propaganda then? He's holding a book published by FBI funded Martinet Press & circulated by FBI paid agent provocateur Sutter to discredit Order of Nine Angles. Strange you've never investigated all this isn't it?

> § Strange, you haven't done your homework as usual. I have an episode one hour long investigating this. https://patreon.com/posts/bonus-93

You hide the episode behind a paywall, sub required; that's why. Since you refer to a CI not an agent provocateur I can wait. No CI gets paid nearly 100,000 US dollars in just two years.

> § You're all cowards. I've offered to meet several of you in person and not one agrees.

° Wrong. A desire to remain anonymous often for professional reasons does not equate to cowardice. Also given your prejudiced views re O9A it's doubtful you could be trusted, or be impartial, and why bother in the first place since no one can speak for or on behalf of the O9A.

> § Coward

° Just blandly repeating your silly accusation is amusing and indicative. No answers to questions of trust, bias, and why bother given O9A is a subculture with all that implies? Here's a pertinent example of anti-O9A bias: https://www.scribd.com/document/569487825/Guardians-Of-The-Anti-O9A-Narrative

> § lol goal post moved. You’re f***ing pathetic

° Which reply answers why "none of us" have agreed to meet someone whose anti-O9A writings testify to bias, who cannot reply rationally but who uses profanities, argumentum ad hominem & who doesn't answer questions such as what's the point of meeting anyway since O9A=subculture.

Other replies to the journalist included:

> ° You're simply repeating your ad hominem and not answering the questions asked. Considering this current and past form tell us why anyone O9A should trust such a journalist enough to meet in person. Also is the person you're being rude to male or female, old or young? No manners?
>
> ° Ad hominem. Is personally and publicly attacking a person you don't know but make assumptions about the new standard journalists now aspire to? Or is that new standard of bad manners only applicable to those who journalists and others claim are O9A or neo-Nazi?

The journalist then went on to peddle the old antifascist and even older anti-O9A fantasy that those replying to him and defending Myatt and/or the O9A were none other than Mr Myatt himself and just like previous fantasists began to address his opponent(s) as "Dave" and insult him/her/they.

{2} The Myatt letter to Sheikh Salman b. Fahd al-Oadah is archived at https://web.archive.org/web/20080105183333/http://www.davidmyatt.info/reply-osama-letter1.html

The Case Of Craig McCann

"The fault, dear Brutus, is not in our stars, but in ourselves..."

A few months ago an antifascist employed by the 'Analysis of the Radical Right' policy institute had the chutzpah to suggest that many antifascists had "become what they oppose" because they advocate "committing criminal offences in furtherance of their opposition to the radical right."

He also wrote that "those who advocate for violence against those you oppose, take a good look in the mirror, for you may have become that which you hate."

Unsurprisingly, for this reminder of what occurs "on the streets" - in the real world - McCann was sacked from his post at the 'Analysis of the Radical Right' policy institute with his article deleted {1} and with his former employer publishing an article containing reams and reams of 'Soviet-style' verbiage in an attempt to counter what Mcann had written {2}. Also unsurprisingly other antifascist journalists such as those who support the Zionist funded HateNotHope antifascist group {3} ranted in disparaging terms about what Mcann had written.

We, however, know and have always known that antifascists had not just recently "become what they oppose" but had been so since at least the 1920s, for they always were basically the same as the stereotyped fantasy fascists that antifascist ideology had invented and hated and violently opposed.

It's just that no scholar, no academic, dared to or had ever dared to document antifascist hatred, antifascist violence, antifascist bigotry, for they knew that what for example happened to McCann would assuredly happen to them: that their career, their reputation, would be ruined such is the stranglehold that antifascists have and have had for decades in academia, exemplified by the antifascist policy of "no platform for anyone who defies our ideology". {4}

Antifascist violence against their hated enemies is however - at least for now - well documented, as in the case of the rise to power of the NSDAP in Germany in the 1920s and 1930s when antifascists killed Karl Winter in Hollstein, Baden, in February 1923, and Herbert Norkus in Berlin in January 1932, and massacred six National Socialists in Altona and Greifswald on July 17th 1932. They also regularly and violently attacked NSDAP meetings such as on 30th September 1929 in Schney, Upper Franconia, when Hans Schemm, the Gauleiter, was attacked. {5}

Such violence continued to the 1970s and beyond, as evidenced by such incidents as the attack in the early 1970s when the then chairman of the Leeds branch of the National Front was attacked by a group of cowardly antifascists and sustained injuries - a broken jaw and a skull fracture - which necessitated his hospitalisation for several weeks.

Academically documented? Of course not. Given that as we noted academics know that "their career, their reputation, would be ruined such is the stranglehold that antifascists have and have had for decades in academia." {4}

°°°

{1} An archive of the article is at https://web.archive.org/web/20220418054215/https://beyondbarriersusa.org/beware-the-anti-fascists-for-they-have-become-what-they-oppose/

{2} https://web.archive.org/web/20220319194646/https://quillette.com/2022/02/22/expelled-from-a-progressive-think-tank-for-the-crime-of-denouncing-antifa-violence/

{3} in 2020 the HnH group received a donation of £320,000 from billionaire Gerald Ronson.

{4} A relevant example of antifascist intimidation in academia occurred not that long ago in 2014 when Aymenn Jawad Al-Tamimi - a classics scholar then studying at Oxford University - invited David Myatt to a private meeting in order for Myatt to give a lecture about his Greek translations to a selected audience of other Oxford classics scholars. Myatt accepted the invitation. However, when antifascists discovered this "cunning plan" to give Myatt a platform they not only petitioned the authorities of Oxford university to cancel the private invitation but also threatened to hold public demonstrations if the lecture went ahead. Unsurprisingly, the authorities of Oxford university cancelled the lecture.

{5} Bayrisches Staatsarchiv, Bamberg, K3/1967/4861

This work is published under the
Creative Commons Attribution-NoDerivatives 4.0 International (CC BY-ND 4.0) license
and can be freely copied and distributed, under the terms of that license.